





FACULTY OF  
ENGINEERING



# EMONEV REPORT

AT THE BEGINNING OF THE  
ODD SEMESTER



2024



## Table of Contents

A.	Number of Respondents Who Gave Feedback and Who Have Not Completed the Instrument ...	4
1.	Faculty of Engineering .....	4
2.	Department of Electrical Engineering Education .....	4
3.	Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education .....	5
4.	Department of Mechanical Engineering Education.....	5
5.	Department of Automotive Engineering Education .....	6
6.	Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education .....	6
7.	Department of Catering and Fashion Education.....	7
B.	Monitoring and Evaluation of Theory Course Lectures.....	8
1.	Faculty of Engineering .....	8
2.	Department of Electrical Engineering Education .....	10
3.	Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education.....	12
4.	Department of Mechanical Engineering Education.....	14
5.	Department of Automotive Engineering Education .....	16
6.	Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education .....	18
7.	Department of Catering and Fashion Education.....	20
C.	Monitoring and Evaluation of Laboratory Practice Courses.....	22
1.	Faculty of Engineering .....	23
2.	Department of Electrical Engineering Education .....	25
3.	Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education.....	28
4.	Department of Mechanical Engineering Education.....	29
5.	Department of Automotive Engineering Education .....	31
6.	Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education .....	33
7.	Department of Food and Fashion Engineering Education	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
D.	Monitoring and Evaluation of Workshop Practice Course	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
1.	Faculty of Engineering	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
2.	Department of Electrical Engineering Education	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3.	Department of Electronics and Informatics Engineering Education	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

4. Department of Mechanical Engineering Education ...**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  5. Department of Automotive Engineering Education ..**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  6. Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  7. Department of Catering and Fashion Education **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- E. Monitoring and Evaluation of Final Project Course Lectures**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
1. Faculty of Engineering **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  2. Department of Electrical Engineering Education **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  3. Department of Electronics and Informatics Engineering Education **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  4. Department of Mechanical Engineering Education **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  5. Department of Automotive Engineering Education ..**Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  6. Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
  7. Department of Catering and Fashion Education **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- F. Recommended **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

**A. Number of Respondents Who Gave Feedback and Who Have Not Completed the Instrument**

1. Faculty of Engineering

The instrument filling was carried out on September 2023 on students of the Faculty of Engineering, Yogyakarta State University. The Faculty of Engineering has 6 Departments, namely DPTE, DPTEI, DPTM, DPTO, DPTSP, and DPTBB, and 1 S3 Study Program, namely Engineering Science.

Table 1: Number of Completed Questionnaires at the Faculty of Engineering

Component	FT	Engineering Science
Completion Percentage	82.64 %	9.09 %
Not Filled	17.36 %	90.91 %

Table 1 shows the number of questionnaire entries at FT UNY. 82.64% of the questionnaires were filled in for monitoring and evaluation of the first week of lectures at FT UNY and 17.36% had not filled them in.

2. Department of Electrical Engineering Education

In DPTE, there are 4 study programs, namely Electrical Engineering Education - S2, Electrical Engineering Education - S1, Mechatronics Engineering Education - S1, and Electrical Engineering - S1. The following are the results of the filled questionnaires obtained in Table 2 study programs in DPTE.

Table 2. Number of Completed Questionnaires in DPTE

No.	Study Program	Entry Stuffing	
		Fill out	Not Filled
1.	Electrical Engineering Education - Masters	50.53 %	49.47 %
2.	Electrical Engineering Education - S1	85.69 %	14.31 %
3.	Mechatronics Engineering Education - S1	82.53 %	17.47 %
4.	Electrical Engineering - S1	91.76 %	8.24 %

Table 2 shows the number of questionnaire entries in DPTE FT UNY. Questionnaire entries for monitoring and evaluation of lectures in the first week

of the program.

PT study Electrical Engineering - S2 has the smallest number of respondents who fill in, namely 50.53%, while the highest Electrical Engineering - S1 is 91.76%.

### 3. Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education

In DPTEI, there are 4 study programs, namely Electronics and Informatics Engineering Education - S2, Electronics Engineering Education - S1, Informatics Engineering Education - S1, and Information Technology - S1. The following are the results of the filled questionnaire obtained in Table 3 study programs in DPTEI.

Table 3. Number of Completed Questionnaires in DPTEI

No.	Study Program	Entry Stuffing	
		Fill out	Not Filled
1.	Electronics and Technical Education Informatics - Masters	35.90 %	64.1 %
2.	Electronics Engineering Education - S1	71.15 %	28.85 %
3.	Informatics Engineering Education - S1	79.22 %	20.78 %
4.	Information Technology - S1	100.00 %	0 %

Table 3 shows the number of questionnaire entries in DPTEI FT UNY. The lowest number of questionnaire entries for monitoring and evaluating the first week of lectures was obtained by the Electronics and Informatics Engineering Education - S2 study program at 35.90% and the highest was the Information Technology - S1 study program at 100%.

### 4. Department of Mechanical Engineering Education

In DPTM, there are 3 study programs, namely Mechanical Engineering Education - S2, Mechanical Engineering Education - S1, and Manufacturing Engineering - S1. The following are the results of the filled questionnaire obtained in Table 4 study programs in DPTM.

Table 4. Number of Completed Questionnaires in DPTM

No.	Study Program	Entry Stuffing	
		Fill out	Not Filled
1.	Mechanical Engineering Education - Masters	31.72 %	68.28 %
2.	Mechanical Engineering Education - S1	71.55 %	28.45 %
3.	Manufacturing Engineering - S1	91.97 %	8.03 %

Table 4 shows the number of questionnaire entries in DPTM FT UNY. The lowest number of questionnaire entries for monitoring and evaluating the first week of lectures was obtained by the Mechanical Engineering Education - S2 study program at 31.72% and the highest was Manufacturing Engineering S1 at 91.97%.

#### 5. Department of Automotive Engineering Education

In DPTO, there is 1 study program under him, namely Automotive Engineering Education - S1. The following are the results of the filled questionnaire obtained in Table 5 study programs at DPTO.

Table 5. Number of Completed Questionnaires in DPTO

No.	Study Program	Entry Stuffing	
		Fill out	Not Filled
1.	Automotive Engineering Education - S1	81.57 %	18.43 %

Table 5 shows the number of questionnaire entries in DPTO FT UNY. The questionnaire content for monitoring and evaluating the first week of lectures by PT Automotive S1 is 81.57%.

#### 6. Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education

In DPTSP, there are 3 study programs under the supervision, namely Civil Engineering and Planning Education (PTSP) - S1, Civil Engineering - S1, and Architecture - S1. The following are the results of the filled questionnaire obtained in Table 6 study programs at DPTSP.

Table 6. Number of Completed Questionnaires at DPTSP

No.	Study Program	Entry Stuffing	
		Fill out	Not Filled
1.	Civil Engineering Education and Planning - S1	86.28 %	13.72 %
2.	Civil Engineering - S1	92.65 %	7.35 %
3.	Architecture - S1	90.65 %	9.35 %

Table 6 shows the number of questionnaire entries in the DPTSP FT UNY. The questionnaire entries for monitoring and evaluating the first week of lectures have the lowest value in the PTSP S1 study program at 86.28% and the highest in the Civil T S1 study program at 92.65%.

#### 7. Department of Catering and Fashion Education

In DPTBB, there are 4 study programs, namely Family Welfare Education - S2, Boga Technical Education - S1, Fashion Technical Education - S1, and Industrial Engineering - S1. The following are the results of the filled questionnaires obtained in Table 7 study programs in DPTBB.

Table 7: Number of Completed Questionnaires at DPTBB

No.	Study Program	Entry Stuffing	
		Fill out	Not Filled
1.	Family Welfare Education - Masters	68.31 %	31.69 %
2.	Boga Engineering Education - S1	78.13 %	21.87 %
3.	Fashion Engineering Education- S1	86.93 %	13.07 %
4.	Industrial Engineering - S1	98.85 %	1.15 %

Table 7 shows the number of questionnaire entries in DPTBB FT UNY. The lowest number of questionnaire entries for monitoring and evaluating the first week of lectures is the PKK - S2 study program at 68.31% and the highest is the Industrial Engineering - S1 study program at 98.85%.

Student participation in filling out this questionnaire needs to be increased again because there are some students who have not filled out the PBM e-money. The results of this e-money can be used as a basis or reference for lecturers.

and agencies to develop the learning process in a better direction.

## **B. Monitoring and Evaluation of Theory Course Lectures**


Monitoring and evaluation of lectures is measured into 4 main indicators, namely monitoring and evaluation of theory lectures, monitoring and evaluation of laboratory practice lectures, monitoring and evaluation of workshop practice lectures, and monitoring and evaluation of Final Project courses. Monitoring and evaluation of theory courses is measured by several indicators. These indicators are assessed by respondents, namely students who take the course. These indicators include: (1) Clarity of lecture objectives; (2) Clarity of the scope of lecture material; (3) Clarity of the meaningfulness/importance of the course to graduate competencies; (4) Clarity of activities that must be carried out by students in the lecture process; (5) Clarity of learning media that will be used during lectures; (6) Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.); (7) Clarity of tasks that must be completed in lectures; (8) Clarity about how to assess learning outcomes; (9) Clarity about the aspects/components of assessment; and (10) Clarity of rules (rules of attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process.

### **1. Faculty of Engineering**

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of theoretical lectures of Study Programs at FT UNY are shown in Table 8 and Figure 1.

Table 8. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in the Spring Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 FT UNY

No.	Elements/Items	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTSP	DPTBB	IT-S3	FT
1.	Clarity of lecture objectives	4,42	4,44	4,39	4,4	4,53	4,50	4,90	4,51
2.	Clarity of material scope lectures	4,38	4,41	4,39	4,32	4,45	4,44	4,85	4,46
3.	Clarity of the meaningfulness/importance of the course towards graduate competencies	4,41	4,40	4,38	4,34	4,49	4,47	4,85	4,48
4.	Clarity of activities that students must do in lecture process	4,34	4,40	4,37	4,31	4,45	4,41	4,75	4,43
5.	Clarity of learning media that will be used during lectures	4,34	4,40	4,34	4,31	4,43	4,40	4,60	4,40
6.	Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.)	4,32	4,37	4,35	4,29	4,41	4,37	4,65	4,39
7.	Clarity of tasks to be completed in lectures	4,34	4,38	4,37	4,32	4,45	4,43	4,85	4,45
8.	Clarity on how to learning outcomes assessment	4,34	4,34	4,34	4,29	4,46	4,39	4,80	4,42
9.	Clarity on aspect/component of assessment	4,37	4,36	4,33	4,31	4,46	4,41	4,90	4,45
10.	Clarity of discipline (rules attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process	4,43	4,36	4,51	4,36	4,53	4,46	4,80	4,49
<b>Average</b>		4,37	4,39	4,38	4,33	4,47	4,43	4,80	4,45
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>							



Monitoring and Evaluation of the First Week of Lectures in Theory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year FT.


Based on Table 8 and Figure 1, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of the first week of lectures in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year of FT UNY have an average of 4.45 with a **very good** category. The highest average value is owned by DPTSP with a value of 4.47 in the **excellent** category. This shows that the implementation of lectures in the first week at FT UNY is in the **excellent** category.

2. Department of Electrical Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of theoretical lectures of Study Programs in DPTE are shown in Table 9 and Figure 2.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in  
the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTE

No.	Elements/Items	PT Electric a l Master	PT Electric a l S1	PT Micro- tronics	T Electric a l S1	Ave r age
1.	Clarity of lecture objectives	4,63	4,32	4,39	4,34	4,42
2.	Clarity of the scope of lecture material	4,63	4,28	4,32	4,28	4,38
3.	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of the subjects lectures on graduate competencies	4,66	4,31	4,37	4,3	4,41
4.	Clarity of activities to be performed students in the lecture process	4,55	4,23	4,3	4,26	4,34
5.	Clarity of learning media that will used during lectures	4,55	4,23	4,3	4,29	4,34
6.	Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, books, etc.) electronic, journals, etc.)	4,51	4,22	4,29	4,24	4,32
7.	Clarity of tasks to be performed completed in lecture	4,55	4,23	4,29	4,29	4,34
8.	Clarity on how to assess results learning	4,6	4,21	4,28	4,28	4,34
9.	Clarity on aspects/components assessment	4,63	4,23	4,31	4,3	4,37
10.	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process	4,66	4,3	4,4	4,34	4,43
<b>Average</b>		4,60	4,26	4,33	4,29	4,37
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very goo d</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very goo d</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year  
DPTE


Based on Table 9 and Figure 2, it is known that the results of the monitoring and evaluation questionnaire for the first week of lectures in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year of DPTE FT UNY have an average of 4.37 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of lectures in the first week at DPTE is in the excellent category. Details of the average obtained for the PT Electrical S2 Study Program of 4.60, PT Electrical S1 of 4.26, PT Mechatronics S1 of 4.33, and T Electrical S1 of 4.37 . 4.39. The entire average obtained in the DPTE study program has a very good category. Overall the categories obtained are good and very good.

3. Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of theoretical lectures in DPTEI study programs are shown in Table 10 and Figure 3.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in  
the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTEI

No.	Elements/Items	PTE I S2	PT Electro nics	PT Infor- matic s	Informatio n Technolog y S1	Ave r age
1.	Clarity of lecture objectives	4,74	4,31	4,41	4,3	4,44
2.	Clarity of the scope of lecture material	4,73	4,23	4,39	4,3	4,41
3.	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of the subjects lectures on graduate competencies	4,71	4,24	4,39	4,26	4,40
4.	Clarity of activities to be performed students in the lecture process	4,7	4,22	4,37	4,3	4,40
5.	Clarity of learning media that will used during lectures	4,71	4,21	4,38	4,3	4,40
6.	Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.)	4,69	4,19	4,34	4,26	4,37
7.	Clarity of tasks to be performed completed in lecture	4,69	4,21	4,34	4,26	4,38
8.	Clarity on how to assess results learning	4,59	4,2	4,36	4,22	4,34
9.	Clarity on aspects/components assessment	4,59	4,2	4,35	4,3	4,36
10.	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, etiquette, sanctions) in the lecture process	4,51	4,27	4,41	4,26	4,36
<b>Average</b>		4,67	4,23	4,37	4,28	4,39
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very goo d</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very goo d</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTEI


Based on Table 10 and Figure 3, it is known that the results of the monitoring and evaluation questionnaire for the first week of lectures in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year of DPTEI FT UNY have an average of 4.39 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of lectures in the first week at DPTEI is in the excellent category. Details of the average obtained for the PTEI S2 Study Program of 4.67, PT Electronics S1 of 4.23, PT Informatics S1 of 4.37, and Information Technology S1 of 4.28. The entire average obtained in the DPTEI study program has a very good category. Overall the categories obtained are good and very good.

4. Department of Mechanical Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of theoretical lectures in DPTM Study Programs are shown in Table 11 and Figure 4.

Table 11: Monitoring and Evaluation of the First Week of Lectures in Theory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year  
DPTM

No.	Elements/Items	PT Machin e S2	PT S1 Machin e	T Manufacturin g S1	Ave r age
1.	Clarity of lecture objectives	4,47	4,34	4,36	4,39
2.	Clarity of the scope of lecture material	4,6	4,25	4,31	4,39
3.	Clarity of the meaningfulness/importance of the course against graduate competencies	4,51	4,31	4,32	4,38
4.	Clarity of activities to be performed students in the lecture process	4,56	4,24	4,31	4,37
5.	Clarity of learning media that will used during lectures	4,47	4,25	4,29	4,34
6.	Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.)	4,55	4,21	4,29	4,35
7.	Clarity of tasks to be completed in lectures	4,55	4,25	4,3	4,37
8.	Clarity on how to assess results learning	4,53	4,22	4,28	4,34
9.	Clarity about assessment aspects/components	4,44	4,25	4,29	4,33
10.	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, etiquette, sanctions) in the lecture process	4,86	4,29	4,38	4,51
<b>Average</b>		4,55	4,26	4,31	4,38
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year  
DPTM


Based on Table 11 and Figure 4, it is known that the results of the monitoring and evaluation questionnaire for the first week of lectures in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year of DPTM FT UNY have an average of 4.38 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of lectures in the first week at DPTM is in the excellent category. The details of the average obtained for the S2 PT Machine Study Program are 4.55, S1 PT Machine is 4.26, and S1 T Manufacturing is 4.31. The entire average obtained in the DPTM study program has a very good category. Overall the categories obtained are good and very good.

5. Department of Automotive Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of the theoretical lectures of Study Programs in DPTO are shown in Table 12 and Figure 5.

Table 12: Monitoring and Evaluation of the First Week of Lectures in Theory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year  
DPTO

No.	Elements/Items	PT Automotive S1
1.	Clarity of lecture objectives	4,40
2.	Clarity of the scope of lecture material	4,32
3.	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of the course to competence graduates	4,34
4.	Clarity of activities that students must do in the process lectures	4,31
5.	Clarity of learning media that will be used during lectures	4,31
6.	Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.)	4,29
7.	Clarity of tasks that must be completed in lectures	4,32
8.	Clarity on how to assess learning outcomes	4,29
9.	Clarity about assessment aspects/components	4,31
10.	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the process lectures	4,36
<b>Average</b>		4,33
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week  
of Theory Courses in the Odd Semester of the  
2023/2024 Academic Year DPTO


Based on Table 12 and Figure 5, it is known that the results of the monitoring and evaluation questionnaire for the first week of lectures in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year of DPTO FT UNY have an average of 4.33 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of lectures in the first week at DPTO is in the excellent category. The entire average obtained in the DPTO study program has a very good category. Overall the category obtained is **very good**.

6. Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of theoretical lectures of Study Programs in DPTSP are shown in Table 13 and Figure 6.

Table 13: Monitoring and Evaluation of the First Week of Lectures in Theory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year  
DPTSP

No.	Elements/Items	PTS P S1	T Civil S1	Architectu r e S1	Aver a ge- flat
1.	Clarity of lecture objectives	4,39	4,56	4,64	4,53
2.	Clarity of the scope of lecture material	4,34	4,51	4,49	4,45
3.	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of the subjects lectures on graduate competencies	4,38	4,56	4,54	4,49
4.	Clarity of activities to be performed students in the lecture process	4,31	4,5	4,53	4,45
5.	Clarity of learning media that will used during lectures	4,3	4,5	4,48	4,43
6.	Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.)	4,29	4,48	4,47	4,41
7.	Clarity of tasks to be completed in lectures	4,3	4,51	4,55	4,45
8.	Clarity on how to assess results learning	4,3	4,49	4,59	4,46
9.	Clarity about assessment aspects/components	4,3	4,5	4,58	4,46
10.	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, etiquette, sanctions) in the lecture process	4,38	4,55	4,65	4,53
<b>Average</b>		4,33	4,52	4,55	4,47
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year  
DPTSP


Based on Table 13 and Figure 6, it is known that the results of the monitoring and evaluation questionnaire for the first week of lectures in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year of DPTSP FT UNY have an average of 4.47 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of lectures in the first week at DPTSP is in the excellent category. The details of the average obtained for the PTSP S1 Study Program are 4.33, T Civil S1 is 4.52, and Architecture is 4.55. The entire average obtained in the DPTSP study program has a **very good** category. Overall the category obtained is very good.

7. Department of Catering and Fashion Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of theoretical lectures of Study Programs in DPTBB are shown in Table 14 and Figure 7.

Table 14: Monitoring and Evaluation of the First Week of Lectures in the Theory Course of the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTBB

No.	Elements/Items	PKK S2	PT Boga S1	PT Fashion S1	T Industry S1	Average
1.	Clarity of lecture objectives	4,81	4,39	4,36	4,43	4,50
2.	Clarity of material scope lectures	4,82	4,33	4,28	4,33	4,44
3.	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance courses against graduate competencies	4,83	4,35	4,34	4,36	4,47
4.	Clarity of activities to be performed students in the lecture process	4,76	4,32	4,27	4,3	4,41
5.	Clarity of learning media will be used during lectures	4,73	4,31	4,27	4,28	4,40
6.	Clarity of reference sources referred to in lectures (textbooks, books, etc.) electronic, journals, etc.)	4,72	4,26	4,21	4,28	4,37
7.	Clarity of tasks to be performed completed in lecture	4,83	4,34	4,28	4,28	4,43
8.	Clarity on how to assess results learning	4,73	4,29	4,25	4,3	4,39
9.	Clarity on aspects/components assessment	4,76	4,31	4,24	4,31	4,41
10.	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process	4,83	4,35	4,3	4,35	4,46
<b>Average</b>		4,78	4,33	4,28	4,32	4,43
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very Good</b>				



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures in the First Week of Theory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year  
DPTBB

Based on Table 14 and Figure 7, it is known that the results of the monitoring and evaluation questionnaire for the first week of lectures in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year of DPTBB FT UNY have an average of 4.43 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of lectures in the first week at DPTBB is in the excellent category. Details of the average obtained for PKK S2 Study Program of 4.78, PT Boga S1 of 4.33, PT Fashion S1 of 4.28, and Industrial Engineering S1 of 4.32. The entire average obtained in the DPTBB study program has a very good category. Overall the category obtained is very good.

### C. Monitoring and Evaluation of Laboratory Practice Courses

Laboratory Practice courses have their own questionnaire instruments for monitoring and evaluating lectures at the beginning of the semester of laboratory courses. The instrument used in this laboratory course is used specifically to monitor and evaluate laboratory class lectures. This questionnaire instrument consists of 15 items, including: (1) Clarity of practicum objectives; (2) Clarity of the scope of the practicum; (3) Clarity of the laboratory class.

The meaningfulness/importance of practicum to support the graduate profession; (4) Clarity of activities that students must carry out during practicum; (5) Clarity regarding the application of occupational safety and health (K3); (6) Clarity of practicum instructions; (7) Clarity of practicum reference materials (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.) that are referred to; (8) Clarity about how to assess practicum results; (10) Clarity about the aspects/components to be assessed) that are referred to; (8) Clarity of the tasks that must be completed in the practicum; (9) Clarity about how to assess the results of the practicum; (10) Clarity about the aspects/components to be assessed; (11) Clarity of rules of conduct (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the practicum lecture process.

#### 1. Faculty of Engineering

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures in the laboratory courses of study programs at FT UNY can be seen in Table 15 and

No.	Elements/Items	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTSP	DPTBB	FT
1	Clarity of purpose practicum	4.44	4.41	4.34	4.44	4.45	4.37	<b>4.41</b>
2	Clarity of scope practicum	4.39	4.36	4.29	4.36	4.39	4.32	<b>4.35</b>
3	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of practicum to support the profession graduates	4.40	4.37	4.35	4.41	4.45	4.37	<b>4.39</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during practicum	4.39	4.34	4.25	4.32	4.37	4.29	<b>4.33</b>
5	Clarity on safety implementation and occupational health (K3)	4.36	4.30	4.29	4.35	4.36	4.25	<b>4.32</b>
6	Clarity of instructions practicum	4.37	4.32	4.19	4.30	4.35	4.27	<b>4.30</b>
7	Clarity of practicum reference materials (textbooks, electronic books, journals,	4.33	4.28	4.23	4.38	4.31	4.26	<b>4.30</b>

	etc.) referenced								
--	------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

No.	Elements/Items	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTSP	DPTBB	FT
8	Clarity of tasks to be completed in practicum	4.37	4.34	4.22	4.33	4.37	4.31	<b>4.32</b>
9	Clarity on how to assessment of practicum results	4.37	4.31	4.18	4.29	4.35	4.28	<b>4.29</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components that will be assessed	4.36	4.31	4.23	4.34	4.36	4.26	<b>4.31</b>
11	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the process practicum lecture	4.42	4.36	4.30	4.44	4.45	4.35	<b>4.39</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.38</b>	<b>4.34</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>4.36</b>	<b>4.38</b>	<b>4.30</b>	<b>4.34</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very Good</b>						





Figure 1.





Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of  
Laboratory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 FT  
Academic Year Based on Table 15 and

No.	Elements/Items	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTSP	DPTBB	FT
1	Clarity of purpose practicum	4.44	4.41	4.34	4.44	4.45	4.37	<b>4.41</b>
2	Clarity of scope practicum	4.39	4.36	4.29	4.36	4.39	4.32	<b>4.35</b>
3	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of practicum to support the profession graduates	4.40	4.37	4.35	4.41	4.45	4.37	<b>4.39</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during practicum	4.39	4.34	4.25	4.32	4.37	4.29	<b>4.33</b>






Figure 1, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures for laboratory courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 FT UNY have an average of 4.34 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of laboratory courses at the beginning of the odd semester at FT UNY is in the very good category.


## 2. Department of Electrical Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester in the laboratory courses of study programs in DPTE can be seen

Table 16 and Figure 2 .

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the DPTE Study Program Laboratory Course for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Elements/Items	PT Electric a l S1	PT Micro - tronic s	T Electric a l S1	Ave r age
1	Clarity of practicum objectives	4.4	4.46	4.47	<b>4.44</b>
2	Clarity of the scope of the practicum	4.34	4.4	4.43	<b>4.39</b>
3	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of practicum to support graduate profession	4.35	4.42	4.43	<b>4.40</b>
4	Clarity of activities that must be done by students during practicum	4.32	4.38	4.46	<b>4.39</b>
5	Clarity on implementation occupational safety and health (K3)	4.33	4.34	4.4	<b>4.36</b>
6	Clarity of practicum instructions	4.3	4.39	4.41	<b>4.37</b>
7	Clarity of practicum reference materials (book texts, e-books, journals, etc.) referenced	4.24	4.34	4.4	<b>4.33</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be performed completed in practicum	4.32	4.42	4.37	<b>4.37</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess results practicum	4.32	4.37	4.41	<b>4.37</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components that will be assessed	4.31	4.35	4.41	<b>4.36</b>
11	Clarity of rules (rules of attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process practicum	4.39	4.42	4.45	<b>4.42</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.33</b>	<b>4.39</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>4.38</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Laboratory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 DPTE Academic Year Based on


Table 16 and Figure 2 show that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures for laboratory courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTE FT UNY have an average of 4.38 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of laboratory course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTE is in the very good category. Details of the average obtained for the S1 Electrical Engineering Study Program of 4.33, S1 Mechatronics of 4.39, and S1 Electrical Engineering of 4.42. Prodi PT Elektro S2 did not fill in the questionnaire monitoring and evaluation of laboratory courses because in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year there were no laboratory courses conducted. The entire average obtained in each study program in DPTE has a very good category. In the category, all elements obtained by each study program in DPTE are very good.

### 3. Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures in DPTEI's laboratory courses can be seen in Table 17 and Figure 3.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Laboratory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Elements/Items	PT Electrical Engineering S1	PT Informatika	Information Technology S1	Average
1	Clarity of practicum objectives	4.29	4.42	4.51	<b>4.41</b>
2	Clarity of the scope of the practicum	4.24	4.4	4.45	<b>4.36</b>
3	Clarity of the meaning/importance of practicum to support the graduate profession	4.26	4.39	4.47	<b>4.37</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during practicum	4.22	4.37	4.43	<b>4.34</b>
5	Clarity on the application of occupational safety and health (K3)	4.18	4.33	4.38	<b>4.30</b>
6	Clarity of practicum instructions	4.21	4.34	4.42	<b>4.32</b>
7	Clarity of referenced practicum reference materials (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.)	4.14	4.31	4.39	<b>4.28</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be completed in the practicum	4.22	4.35	4.45	<b>4.34</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess practicum results	4.19	4.34	4.39	<b>4.31</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components to be assessed	4.22	4.34	4.38	<b>4.31</b>
11	Clarity of rules (rules of attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the practicum lecture process	4.24	4.38	4.45	<b>4.36</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.22</b>	<b>4.36</b>	<b>4.43</b>	<b>4.34</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



**Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Laboratory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTEI**


Based on Table 17 and Figure 3, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of laboratory courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTEI FT UNY have an average of 4.34 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of laboratory course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTEI is in the very good category. Details of the average obtained for PT Electronics S1 Study Program amounted to 4.22, PT Informatics 4.36, and Information Technology S1 4.43. Overall the category obtained by each study program in DPTEI is very good.

**4. Department of Mechanical Engineering Education**

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures in DPTM laboratory courses can be seen in Table 18 and Figure 11.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Laboratory Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Elements/Items	PT S1 Machine	T Manufacturing S1	Average
1	Clarity of practicum objectives	4.42	4.25	<b>4.34</b>
2	Clarity of the scope of the practicum	4.34	4.23	<b>4.29</b>
3	Clarity of the meaningfulness/importance of the practicum for supporting the graduate profession	4.42	4.28	<b>4.35</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during practicum	4.36	4.13	<b>4.25</b>
5	Clarity on implementation occupational safety and health (K3)	4.38	4.2	<b>4.29</b>
6	Clarity of practicum instructions	4.28	4.1	<b>4.19</b>
7	Clarity of practicum reference materials (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.) referenced	4.37	4.08	<b>4.23</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be performed completed in practicum	4.34	4.1	<b>4.22</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess results practicum	4.36	4	<b>4.18</b>
10	Clarity on aspects/components that will be assessed	4.36	4.1	<b>4.23</b>
11	Clarity of discipline (rules attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the practicum lecture process	4.36	4.23	<b>4.30</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.36</b>	<b>4.15</b>	<b>4.26</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



**Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Laboratory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTM**


Based on Table 18 and Figure 3, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of laboratory courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTM FT UNY have an average of 4.26 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of laboratory course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTM is in the very good category. Details of the average obtained for PT Mechanical S1 Study Program amounted to 4.36 and T Manufacturing S1 amounted to 4.15. PT Mesin S2 in the odd semester of the 2023/2024 academic year did not carry out learning activities in the laboratory. Overall, the categories obtained by DPTM study programs are Very Good and Good.

**5. Department of Automotive Engineering Education**

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures in DPTO's laboratory courses can be seen in Table 19 and Figure 5.

Table 19. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Laboratory Course in the DPTO Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

<b>No.</b>	<b>Elements/Items</b>	<b>PT Automotive S1</b>	<b>Average</b>
1	Clarity of practicum objectives	4.44	<b>4.44</b>
2	Clarity of the scope of the practicum	4.36	<b>4.36</b>
3	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of practicum to support graduate profession	4.41	<b>4.41</b>
4	Clarity of activities that must be done by students during practicum	4.32	<b>4.32</b>
5	Clarity on implementation occupational safety and health (K3)	4.35	<b>4.35</b>
6	Clarity of practicum instructions	4.3	<b>4.30</b>
7	Clarity of the practicum reference materials (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.) that are used Referenced	4.38	<b>4.38</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be performed completed in practicum	4.33	<b>4.33</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess results practicum	4.29	<b>4.29</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components that will be assessed	4.34	<b>4.34</b>
11	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the practicum lecture process	4.44	<b>4.44</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.36</b>	<b>4.36</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Laboratory Courses for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 DPTO Academic Year Based on Table 19 and Figure 5, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling are

monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of laboratory courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTO FT UNY has an average value of 4.36 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of laboratory course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTO is going very well. Overall, the category obtained by study programs in DPTO is very good.


#### 6. Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the study program laboratory courses at DPTSP can be seen in Table 20 and **Error! Reference source not found.**

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Study Program Laboratory Course at DPTSP for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Elements/Items	PTS P S1	T Civi I S1	Average-flat
1	Clarity of practicum objectives	4.47	4.42	<b>4.45</b>
2	Clarity of the scope of the practicum	4.44	4.34	<b>4.39</b>

No.	Elements/Items	PTS P S1	T Civi l S1	Averag e- flat
3	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance the importance of practicum to support the graduate profession	4.45	4.44	<b>4.45</b>
4	Clarity of activities must be done by students during practicum	4.43	4.31	<b>4.37</b>
5	Clarity on implementation occupational safety and health (K3)	4.42	4.3	<b>4.36</b>
6	Clarity of practicum instructions	4.39	4.31	<b>4.35</b>
7	Clarity of practicum reference materials (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.) referenced	4.31	4.3	<b>4.31</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be performed completed in practicum	4.42	4.32	<b>4.37</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess results practicum	4.35	4.34	<b>4.35</b>
10	Clarity on aspects/components that will be assessed	4.35	4.37	<b>4.36</b>
11	Clarity of discipline (rules attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the practicum lecture process	4.43	4.46	<b>4.45</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.41</b>	<b>4.36</b>	<b>4.38</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the DPTSP Laboratory Course for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTSP

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and Figure 1, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures in laboratory courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTSP FT UNY have an average of 4.38 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of laboratory course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTSP is in the very good category. Details of the average obtained for the S1 PTSP Study Program of 4.41 and S1 Civil T of 4.36. The average obtained in the DPTSP study programme has a very good category. Overall the category obtained by the DPTSP study programme is very good.

1. Department of Food and Fashion Engineering Education


The average results of monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures in DPTBB laboratory courses can be seen in Table 1 and Figure 2.

Table 1. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the DPTBB Study Program Laboratory Course, Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Boga S1	PT Fashion S1	T Industry S1	Average
1	Clarity of practicum objectives	4.58	4.35	4.18	<b>4.37</b>
2	Clarity of scope practicum	4.57	4.28	4.11	<b>4.32</b>

3	Clarity meaningfulness/importance	4.59	4.34	4.18	<b>4.37</b>
---	--------------------------------------	------	------	------	-------------

<b>No.</b>	<b>Element/Item</b>	<b>PT Boga S1</b>	<b>PT Fashion S1</b>	<b>T Industry S1</b>	<b>Average</b>
	the importance of practicum for supporting the graduate profession				
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during practicum	4.54	4.27	4.06	<b>4.29</b>
5	Clarity on the implementation of safety and occupational health (OHS)	4.52	4.18	4.04	<b>4.25</b>
6	Clarity of instructions practicum	4.53	4.22	4.06	<b>4.27</b>
7	Clarity of practicum reference materials (textbooks, e-books, journals, etc.) which Referenced	4.48	4.19	4.12	<b>4.26</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be completed in the practicum	4.54	4.28	4.12	<b>4.31</b>
9	Clarity on how to assessment of practical results	4.52	4.16	4.16	<b>4.28</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components that will Rated	4.53	4.2	4.06	<b>4.26</b>
11	Clarity of rules (rules of attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process practicum	4.57	4.26	4.23	<b>4.35</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.54</b>	<b>4.25</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>4.30</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



**Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of  
Laboratory Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024  
DPTBB**

Based on Table 1 and Figure 2, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of laboratory courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTBB FT UNY have an average of 4.30 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of laboratory courses at the beginning of the semester at DPTBB is in the very good category. Details of the average obtained for the PT Boga S1 Study Program of 4.54; PT Clothing S1 of 4.25; and T Industry S1 of 4.12. The average obtained in the DPTBB study programme has a very good category. Overall, the categories obtained by the DPTBB study programme are good and very good.

**A. Monitoring and Evaluation of Workshop Practice Courses**

The Workshop Practice course has its own questionnaire instrument for monitoring and evaluating lectures at the beginning of the semester of the workshop course. The instrument used in this workshop course is used specifically to monitor and evaluate workshop class lectures. This questionnaire instrument consists of 16 items, including: (1) Clarity of the objectives of workshop practice; (2) Clarity of the scope of workshop practice; (3) Clarity of the meaningfulness/importance of the importance of workshop practice to support the students' learning.

(4) Clarity of activities that students must do during workshop practice; (5) Clarity regarding the application of occupational safety and health (K3); (6) Clarity of workshop practice instructions; (7) Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, electronic books, journals, etc.) that are referred to; (8) Clarity of tasks that must be completed in workshop practice; (9) Clarity about how to assess the results of workshop practice; (10) Clarity about the aspects/components to be assessed; (11) Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the workshop practice lecture process.

#### 1. Faculty of Engineering

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of early-semester lectures in FT UNY's workshop courses can be seen in Table 2 and Figure 3.


Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Workshop Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTBB	DPTBB	FT
1	Clarity of practice objectives workshop	5.00	4.42	4.41	5.00	4.77	4.35	<b>4.66</b>
2	Clarity of scope workshop practice	5.00	4.38	4.34	5.00	4.72	4.31	<b>4.62</b>
3	Clarity of meaningfulness/importance of the importance of workshop practice to support the profession graduates	5.00	4.35	4.39	5.00	4.77	4.31	<b>4.64</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during workshop practice	5.00	4.52	4.32	5.00	4.79	4.30	<b>4.65</b>
5	Clarity on the implementation of safety and occupational health (OHS)	5.00	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.74	4.29	<b>4.62</b>
6	Clarity of practice instructions bangkel	5.00	4.40	4.31	5.00	4.74	4.30	<b>4.63</b>

7	Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, electronic books, journals,	5.00	4.46	4.30	5.00	4.69	4.28	<b>4.62</b>
---	--	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------------

	etc.) referenced							
--	------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

No.	Element/Item	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTBB	DPTBB	FT
8	Clarity of tasks to be completed in workshop practice	5.00	4.37	4.32	5.00	4.74	4.30	4.62
9	Clarity on how to assess practical results workshop	5.00	4.33	4.29	5.00	4.74	4.30	4.61
10	Clarity on aspects/components to be assessed	5.00	4.50	4.30	5.00	4.79	4.31	4.65
11	Clarity of rules (rules of attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process workshop practice	5.00	4.54	4.37	5.00	4.79	4.33	4.67
<b>Average</b>		<b>5.00</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>4.33</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>4.75</b>	<b>4.31</b>	<b>4.64</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>						



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester Workshop Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 FT UNY  
Based on Table 2 and Figure 3, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling are

Monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of workshop courses in the odd semester of academic year 2023/2024 FT UNY was carried out by DPTE, DPTEI, DPTM, DPTO, DPTSP and DPTBB. The average score that FT has in


workshop practice is 4.64 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of workshop courses at the beginning of the semester at FT UNY went very well.

## 2. Department of Electrical Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester in DPTE's workshop courses can be seen in Table 23 and Figure 18.

Table 3. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Workshop Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Electric al S1	Average
1	Clarity of workshop practice objectives	5	5
2	Clarity of scope of practice workshop	5	5
3	Clarity of meaning/importance the importance of workshop practice to support graduate professions	5	5
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during practice workshop	5	5
5	Clarity on the application of occupational safety and health (OHS)	5	5
6	Clarity of workshop instructions	5	5
7	Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, e-books, journals, etc.) referenced	5	5
8	Clarity of tasks that must be completed in workshop practice	5	5
9	Clarity on how to assess results workshop practice	5	5
10	Clarity on aspects/components that will be assessed	5	5
11	Clarity of rules (rules of attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process workshop practice	5	5
<b>Average</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



**Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of  
Workshop Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024  
DPTE**

Based on Table 23 and Figure 18, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of workshop courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTE FT UNY have an average value of 5 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of workshop courses at the beginning of the semester at DPTE is going very well. Overall, the category obtained by study programmes in DPTE is very good.


**3. Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education**

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester in DPTEI's workshop courses can be seen in Table 24 and Figure 19.

**Table 24: Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Workshop Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Element/Item</b>	<b>Information Technology S1</b>	<b>Average</b>
1	Clarity of workshop practice objectives	4.42	<b>4.42</b>

No.	Element/Item	Technology S1 Information	Average
2	Clarity of scope of practice workshop	4.38	<b>4.38</b>
3	Clarity of meaning/importance the importance of workshop practice to support graduate professions	4.35	<b>4.35</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during practice workshop	4.52	<b>4.52</b>
5	Clarity on the application of occupational safety and health (OHS)	4.33	<b>4.33</b>
6	Clarity of workshop instructions	4.4	<b>4.40</b>
7	Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, e-books, journals, etc.) referenced	4.46	<b>4.46</b>
8	Clarity of tasks that must be completed in workshop practice	4.37	<b>4.37</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess results workshop practice	4.33	<b>4.33</b>
10	Clarity on aspects/components that will be assessed	4.5	<b>4.50</b>
11	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the process of workshop practice lectures	4.54	<b>4.54</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.42</b>	<b>4.42</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>



#### Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of Workshop Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 DPTEI


Based on Table 24 and Figure 19, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of workshop courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTEI FT UNY have an average value of 4.42 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of the workshop course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTEI went very well. Overall, the category obtained by study programmes in DPTEI is very good.

#### 4. Department of Mechanical Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester for workshop courses in DPTM can be seen in Table 25 and Figure 20.

Table 25 Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Workshop Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Machinery S1	T Manufacturing S1	Average
1	Clarity of workshop practice objectives	4.41	4.41	<b>4.41</b>
2	Clarity of the scope of workshop practice	4.33	4.34	<b>4.34</b>
3	Clarity of meaning/importance the importance of workshop practice to support graduate professions	4.38	4.39	<b>4.39</b>
4	Clarity of activities that must be done by students during workshop practice	4.32	4.31	<b>4.32</b>
5	Clarity on the application of occupational safety and health (OHS)	4.33	4.33	<b>4.33</b>
6	Clarity of workshop instructions	4.33	4.29	<b>4.31</b>
7	Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, e-books, journals, etc.) referenced	4.31	4.29	<b>4.30</b>
8	Clarity of tasks that must be completed in workshop practice	4.34	4.29	<b>4.32</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess results workshop practice	4.3	4.27	<b>4.29</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components that will be assessed	4.31	4.28	<b>4.30</b>
11	Clarity of rules (rules of attendance, ethics, sanctions) in the lecture process workshop practice	4.41	4.32	<b>4.37</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.34</b>	<b>4.32</b>	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



**Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of  
Workshop Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024  
JPTM**

Based on Table 25 and Figure 20, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of workshop courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTM FT UNY have an average value of 4.33 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of workshop courses at the beginning of the semester at DPTM is going very well. Overall, the category obtained by study programmes in DPTM is very good.


**5. Department of Automotive Engineering Education**

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the workshop courses in DPTSP can be seen in Table 26 and Figure 21.

Table 26: Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Workshop Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Automotive	Average
1	Clarity of workshop practice objectives	5	5
2	Clarity of the scope of workshop practice	5	5

<b>No.</b>	<b>Element/Item</b>	<b>PT Automotive</b>	<b>Average</b>
3	Clarity of the meaningfulness/importance of workshop practice for supporting the graduate profession	5	5
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during workshop practice	5	5
5	Clarity on the application of occupational safety and health (OHS)	5	5
6	Clarity of workshop instructions	5	5
7	Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, e-books, journals, etc.) which Referenced	5	5
8	Clarity of tasks to be completed in workshop practice	5	5
9	Clarity on how to assess the results of workshop practice	5	5
10	Clarity about the aspects/components to be assessed	5	5
11	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the practical lecture process workshop	5	5
<b>Average</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of Workshop Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 DPTSP

Based on Table 26 and Figure 21, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of workshop courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTO FT UNY have an average value of 5 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of workshop courses at the beginning of the semester at DPTSP is going very well. Overall, the category obtained by study programmes in DPTO is **very good**.


#### 6. Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the workshop courses in DPTSP can be seen in Table 27 and Figure 22.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Workshop Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	Architecture S1	Average
1	Clarity of workshop practice objectives	4.77	<b>4.77</b>
2	Clarity of the scope of workshop practice	4.72	<b>4.72</b>

<b>No.</b>	<b>Element/Item</b>	<b>Architecture S1</b>	<b>Average</b>
3	Clarity of the meaningfulness/importance of workshop practice for supporting the graduate profession	4.77	<b>4.77</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during workshop practice	4.79	<b>4.79</b>
5	Clarity on the application of occupational safety and health (OHS)	4.74	<b>4.74</b>
6	Clarity of workshop instructions	4.74	<b>4.74</b>
7	Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, e-books, journals, etc.) which Referenced	4.69	<b>4.69</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be completed in workshop practice	4.74	<b>4.74</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess the results of workshop practice	4.74	<b>4.74</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components to be assessed	4.79	<b>4.79</b>
11	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, ethics, sanctions) in the practical lecture process workshop	4.79	<b>4.79</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.75</b>	<b>4.75</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of Workshop Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 DPTSP

Based on Table 27 and Figure 22, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of workshop courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTSP FT UNY have an average value of 4.75 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of workshop courses at the beginning of the semester at DPTSP is going very well. Overall, the category obtained by study programmes in DPTSP is **very good**.


7. Department of Catering and Fashion Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the workshop courses in DPTBB can be seen in Table 28 and Figure 23.

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of Workshop Courses in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Boga S1	PT Fashion S1	PKK S2	Average
1	Clarity of workshop practice objectives	4.4	4.01	4.64	<b>4.35</b>
2	Clarity of scope of workshop practice	4.33	3.97	4.64	<b>4.31</b>

No.	Element/Item	PT Boga S1	PT Fashion S1	PKK S2	Average
3	Clarity of meaning/importance the importance of workshop practice to support graduate professions	4.4	3.99	4.55	<b>4.31</b>
4	Clarity of activities that students must do during workshop practice	4.33	3.93	4.64	<b>4.30</b>
5	Clarity on the implementation of occupational safety and health (K3)	4.33	3.91	4.64	<b>4.29</b>
6	Clarity of workshop instructions	4.29	3.98	4.64	<b>4.30</b>
7	Clarity of reference materials for workshop practice (textbooks, e-books, journals, etc.) which Referenced	4.24	3.88	4.73	<b>4.28</b>
8	Clarity of tasks to be completed in workshop practice	4.32	3.93	4.64	<b>4.30</b>
9	Clarity on how to assess the results of workshop practice	4.25	3.92	4.73	<b>4.30</b>
10	Clarity about the aspects/components to be assessed	4.27	3.94	4.73	<b>4.31</b>
11	Clarity of discipline (attendance rules, etiquette, sanctions) in the programme workshop practice lecture process	4.34	3.93	4.73	<b>4.33</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.32</b>	<b>3.94</b>	<b>4.66</b>	<b>4.31</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



**Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of  
Workshop Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024  
DPTBB**

Based on Table 28 and Figure 23, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of workshop courses in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTBB FT UNY have an average value of 4.31 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of workshop courses at the beginning of the semester at DPTBB is going very well. Overall, the category obtained by study programmes in DPTBB is **very good**.

**B. Monitoring and Evaluation of Final Project Course**

The Final Project course has its own questionnaire instrument for monitoring and evaluating lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course. The instrument used in this final project course is used specifically to monitor and evaluate the final project course lectures. This questionnaire instrument consists of 12 items, including: (1) The adequacy of the study programme in organising pre-proposal debriefing; (2) The suitability of the final project with the lecturer's umbrella research; (3) Scheduling by lecturers of the final project process.

(4) The use of the guidance book/card in the final project is monitored by using the guidance book/card; (5) The quality of the validation process in completing the final project; (6) Ease of communication with the supervisor; (7) Lecturer support in providing solutions to problems in completing the final project; (8) Lecturer's accuracy of the revision results in the final project writing process; (9) Supervisor support in helping students to obtain appropriate and up-to-date literature sources; (10) Adequacy of the intensity of meetings with supervisors in completing the final project; (11) Supervisor's direction to students to avoid plagiarism in writing the final project; (12) Study programme monitoring of the progress of completing the final project (for example, there is a meeting involving students and supervisors and study programmes).


#### 1. Faculty of Engineering

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course at FT UNY can be seen **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**

Table 4. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Final Project Course at the Beginning of the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTSP	DPTBB	FT
1	The adequacy of the study programme in organising debriefing pre-proposal.	4.33	4.31	4.26	4.27	4.38	4.08	<b>4.27</b>
2	Suitability of the final project with lecturer umbrella research.	4.36	4.31	4.26	4.28	4.37	4.12	<b>4.28</b>
3	Scheduling by lecturers of the mentoring process completion of the final project.	4.29	4.25	4.29	4.28	4.44	4.05	<b>4.27</b>
4	The use of books/guidance cards in the final project is monitored using guidance book/card.	4.24	4.21	4.24	4.12	4.35	4.00	<b>4.19</b>

No.	Element/Item	DPTE	DPTEI	DPTM	DPTO	DPTSP	DPTBB	FT
5	Quality of the validation process in completion of the final project.	4.27	4.26	4.28	4.19	4.38	4.05	<b>4.24</b>
6	Ease of communication with lecturers mentor.	4.31	4.33	4.36	4.29	4.42	4.07	<b>4.30</b>
7	Lecturer support in providing solutions to problems in completion of the final project.	4.38	4.33	4.28	4.29	4.45	4.12	<b>4.31</b>
8	Lecturer's attention to revision results in the process writing the final project.	4.41	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.43	4.14	<b>4.30</b>
9	Supervisors' support in helping students to obtain appropriate literature sources and cutting-edge.	4.36	4.23	4.30	4.19	4.43	4.04	<b>4.26</b>
10	Adequacy of meeting intensity with supervisor in the completion of the final project.	4.32	4.23	4.27	4.24	4.38	4.05	<b>4.25</b>
11	Briefing supervisors to students in order to avoid plagiarism in writing the final project.	4.25	4.31	4.24	4.26	4.47	4.15	<b>4.28</b>
12	Monitoring by the study programme on the progress of final project completion (e.g. a meeting involving students, supervisors and the study programme).	4.24	4.16	4.24	4.26	4.38	4.03	<b>4.22</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.31</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>4.25</b>	<b>4.41</b>	<b>4.07</b>	<b>4.26</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very good</b>				



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of Final Project Subjects in Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 FT UNY

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 FT UNY have an average of 4.26 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of the final project course lectures at the beginning of the semester at FT UNY is in the **excellent** category.

## 2. Department of Electrical Engineering Education


The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in DPTE can be seen **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**

Table 5. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Final Project Course for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Electrical Engineering S1	PT Mechatronics S1	T Electrical Engineering S1	PT Master's degree in Electrical Engineering	Average
1	The adequacy of study programmes in organising pre-workshop debriefing proposal.	4.28	4.2	4.24	4.61	<b>4.33</b>
2	Suitability of final project with research lecturer umbrella.	4.26	4.35	4.29	4.52	<b>4.36</b>
3	Scheduling by lecturers of the completion guidance process final project.	4.31	4.3	4.06	4.48	<b>4.29</b>
4	Use of the guidance book/card in the final project is monitored using a guidance book/card.	4.28	4.26	4.18	4.22	<b>4.24</b>
5	Quality of the validation process in completion of the final project.	4.38	4.22	4.18	4.3	<b>4.27</b>
6	Ease of communication with lecturers mentor.	4.41	4.35	4.12	4.35	<b>4.31</b>
7	Lecturer support in providing solutions to problems in completion of the final project.	4.36	4.39	4.35	4.43	<b>4.38</b>
8	Lecturer's attention to revision in the process of writing the final project.	4.38	4.41	4.41	4.43	<b>4.41</b>
9	Supervisors' support in helping students to obtain library sources appropriate and up-to-date.	4.36	4.31	4.35	4.43	<b>4.36</b>
10	Adequacy of meeting intensity with supervisors in completion of the final project.	4.33	4.41	4.29	4.26	<b>4.32</b>
11	Briefing supervisors to students to avoid plagiarism in writing the final project.	4.26	4.33	3.94	4.48	<b>4.25</b>
12	Monitoring by the study programme on the progress of final project completion (e.g. a meeting involving students, supervisors and the study programme).	4.33	4.22	4.24	4.17	<b>4.24</b>

<b>Average</b>	<b>4.33</b>	<b>4.31</b>	<b>4.22</b>	<b>4.39</b>	<b>4.31</b>
----------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

No.	Element/Item	PT Electrical Engineering S1	PT Mechatronics S1	T Electrical Engineering S1	PT Master's degree in Electrical Engineering	Average
	Category	Very good	Very good	Very good	Very good	Very good



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of Final Project Subjects for the Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 DPTE

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found**, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTE FT UNY have an average of 4.31 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of the final project course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTE is in the excellent category. The entire average obtained in the DPTE study programme has a very good category.

3. Department of Electronics and Information Technology Education


The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the final project course in DPTEI can be seen

**Error! Reference source not found. and Error! Reference source not found.**

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Final Project Course in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Electronic s S1	PT Informatic s S1	Information Technology S1	PTEI S2	Average
1	Sufficiency of study programmes in organise a pre-proposal briefing.	4.35	4	4.33	4.57	<b>4.31</b>
2	Suitability of the final project with lecturer umbrella research.	4.41	4.07	4.25	4.5	<b>4.31</b>
3	Scheduling by lecturers of the mentoring process completion of the final project.	4.35	3.93	4.29	4.43	<b>4.25</b>
4	The use of books/guidance cards in the final project is monitored using guidance book/card.	4.29	4.13	4.13	4.29	<b>4.21</b>
5	Quality of the validation process in completion of the final project.	4.29	4.13	4.25	4.36	<b>4.26</b>
6	Ease of doing communication with the supervisor.	4.35	4.13	4.21	4.64	<b>4.33</b>
7	Lecturer support in providing solutions to problems in the completion of the final project.	4.24	4.13	4.38	4.57	<b>4.33</b>
8	Lecturer's attention to revision in the writing process final project.	4.29	4.13	4.29	4.36	<b>4.27</b>
9	Supervisor support in helping students to get resources appropriate and up-to-date literature.	4.29	4.2	4.13	4.29	<b>4.23</b>
10	Adequacy of meeting intensity with supervisors in completion of the final project.	4.24	3.93	4.25	4.5	<b>4.23</b>
11	Briefing supervisors to students to avoid plagiarism in writing assignments The end.	4.29	4.07	4.38	4.5	<b>4.31</b>

No.	Element/Item	PT Electronics S1	PT Informatiks S1	Information Technology S1	PTEI S2	Average
12	Monitoring by the study programme of the progress of final project completion (e.g. a meeting involving students, supervisors and the study programme).	4.35	3.8	4.21	4.29	<b>4.16</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.31</b>	<b>4.05</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>4.44</b>	<b>4.27</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



S1 Information

### Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester of Laboratory Subjects for Odd Semester of Academic Year 2023/2024 DPTEI

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found**, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTEI FT UNY have an average of 4.27 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of the

The final project lecture at the beginning of the semester in DPTEI is in the very good category. The entire average obtained in the DPTEI study programme has a good and very good category.


#### 4. Department of Mechanical Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in DPTM can be seen **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Final Project Course in the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT S1 Machine	T Manufacturing S1	PT S2 Machine	Average
1	The adequacy of the study programme in organising pre-proposal debriefing.	4.08	4.21	4.5	<b>4.26</b>
2	The suitability of the final project with the lecturer's umbrella research.	4.03	4.24	4.5	<b>4.26</b>
3	Scheduling by lecturers of the mentoring process for final project completion.	4.1	4.26	4.5	<b>4.29</b>
4	The use of the guidance book/card in the final project is monitored by using the guidance book/card.	3.99	4.24	4.5	<b>4.24</b>
5	Quality validation process in final project completion.	4.06	4.29	4.5	<b>4.28</b>
6	Ease of communication with the supervisor.	4.04	4.29	4.75	<b>4.36</b>
7	Lecturer support in providing solutions to problems in completing the final project.	4.03	4.32	4.5	<b>4.28</b>
8	The lecturer's attention to the revision results in the final project writing process.	4.06	4.24	4.5	<b>4.27</b>
9	Supervisor support in helping students to obtain appropriate and up-to-date literature sources.	4.13	4.26	4.5	<b>4.30</b>
10	Adequacy of meeting intensity with the supervisor in the completion of the final project.	4.07	4.24	4.5	<b>4.27</b>

No.	Element/Item	PT S1 Machine	T Manufacturing S1	PT S2 Machine	Average
11	Supervisor briefing to students in order to avoid plagiarism in writing the final project.	3.97	4.26	4.5	4.24
12	Monitoring by the study programme of the progress of final project completion (e.g. a meeting involving students, supervisors and the study programme).	4.01	4.21	4.5	4.24
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.05</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>4.52</b>	<b>4.27</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



S1

S2

Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester Assignment Subjects at the Beginning of Odd Semester Academic Year 2023/2024 DPTM

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found**, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of early semester lectures in the Final Project course in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 JPTM FT UNY have an average of 4.27 in the category of

**very good.** This shows that the implementation of the final project course lectures at the beginning of the semester in DPTM is in the very good category. The entire average obtained in the DPTM study programme has a very good and good category.


#### 5. Department of Automotive Engineering Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in DPTO can be seen **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**

Table 6. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the semester of the Final Project Course for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PT Automotiv e S1
1	The adequacy of study programmes in organising pre-workshop debriefing proposal.	4.27
2	The suitability of the final project with the lecturer's umbrella research.	4.28
3	Scheduling by lecturers of the completion guidance process final project.	4.28
4	The use of guidance books/cards in the final project is monitored by using the guidance book/card.	4.12
5	Quality validation process in final project completion.	4.19
6	Ease of communication with lecturers mentor.	4.29
7	Lecturer support in providing solutions to problems in the completion of the final project.	4.29
8	Lecturer's attention to revision in the assignment writing process The end.	4.27
9	Supervisor support in helping students to obtain appropriate and up-to-date literature sources.	4.19
10	Adequacy of meeting intensity with supervisors in completion of the final project.	4.24
11	Supervisor's briefing to students to avoid plagiarism in the writing of the final project.	4.26
12	Monitoring by the study programme of the progress of final project completion (e.g. meetings involving students and lecturers, supervisor and study programme).	4.26

No.	Element/Item	PT Automotiv e S1
	Average	4.25
	Category	Very good



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester Assignment Subjects at the Beginning of Odd Semester Academic Year 2023/2024 DPTO

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found**, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTO FT UNY have an average of 4.25 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of the Early assignment course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTO is in the excellent category. The entire average obtained in the DPTO study programme has a very good category.


6. Department of Civil Engineering and Planning Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in DPTSP can be seen **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**

Table 7. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Final Project Course for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year.

No.	Element/Item	PTSP S1	T Civil S1	Average
1	The adequacy of study programmes in organising pre-workshop debriefing proposal.	4.61	4.15	<b>4.38</b>
2	Suitability of final project with research lecturer umbrella.	4.52	4.22	<b>4.37</b>
3	Scheduling by lecturers of the process mentoring the completion of the final project.	4.61	4.27	<b>4.44</b>
4	The use of the book/guidance card in the final project is monitored by using the guidance book/card.	4.57	4.12	<b>4.35</b>
5	Quality of the validation process in completion of the final project.	4.59	4.17	<b>4.38</b>
6	Ease of doing communication with the supervisor.	4.67	4.17	<b>4.42</b>
7	Lecturer support in providing solutions to problems in completion of the final project.	4.61	4.29	<b>4.45</b>
8	Lecturer's attention to revision in the process of writing the final project.	4.59	4.27	<b>4.43</b>
9	Supervisors' support in helping students to obtain library sources appropriate and up-to-date.	4.63	4.22	<b>4.43</b>

No.	Element/Item	PTSP S1	T Civil S1	Average - flat
10	Adequacy of meeting intensity with supervisor in the completion of the final project.	4.63	4.12	<b>4.38</b>
11	Briefing supervisors to students in order to avoid plagiarism in writing the final project.	4.65	4.29	<b>4.47</b>
12	Monitoring by the study programme on the progress of final project completion (e.g. meetings involving students) and supervisors and study programmes).	4.61	4.15	<b>4.38</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>4.61</b>	<b>4.20</b>	<b>4.41</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>	<b>Very good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Early Assignment Course for the Odd Semester of the 2023/2024 Academic Year DPTSP

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! It is** known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in the odd semester of the 2020/2019 academic year DPTSP FT UNY have an average of 4.41 with a **very good** category. This shows that the implementation of course lectures

The final assignment lecture at the beginning of the semester in DPTSP is in the very good category. The entire average obtained in the DPTSP study programme has a very good category.


#### 7. Department of Catering and Fashion Education

The average results of monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in DPTBB can be seen **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**

Table 8. Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of the Semester of the Final Project Course for the Odd Semester of the Academic Year 2023/2024

No.	Element/Item	PT Boga S1	PT Fashion S1	PKK S2	Average
1	Sufficiency of study programmes in organising a pre-proposal debriefing.	3.72	3.94	4.58	<b>4.08</b>
2	Suitability of final project with research lecturer umbrella.	3.8	4.03	4.52	<b>4.12</b>
3	Scheduling by lecturers of the process mentoring the completion of the final project.	3.73	3.97	4.45	<b>4.05</b>
4	Use of the guidance book/card in The final project is monitored using a guidance book/card.	3.67	3.95	4.39	<b>4.00</b>
5	Quality of the validation process in completion of the final project.	3.71	3.96	4.48	<b>4.05</b>
6	Ease of communication with the supervisor.	3.79	3.97	4.45	<b>4.07</b>
7	Lecturer support in providing solutions to the problems in the completion of the final project.	3.81	4.03	4.52	<b>4.12</b>
8	Lecturer's attention to revision in the process of writing the final project.	3.79	4.1	4.52	<b>4.14</b>
9	Supervisor support in helping students to get appropriate and up-to-date literature sources.	3.71	3.9	4.52	<b>4.04</b>
10	Adequacy of the intensity of meetings with supervisors in the completion of final project.	3.76	3.92	4.48	<b>4.05</b>

No.	Element/Item	PT Boga S1	PT Fashion S1	PKK S2	Average
11	Supervisor briefing to students to avoid plagiarism in writing the final project.	3.85	4.07	4.52	<b>4.15</b>
12	Monitoring by the study programme on the progress of final project completion (e.g. meetings involving students) and supervisors and study programmes).	3.65	3.91	4.52	<b>4.03</b>
<b>Average</b>		<b>3.75</b>	<b>3.98</b>	<b>4.50</b>	<b>4.07</b>
<b>Category</b>		<b>Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>



Monitoring and Evaluation of Lectures at the Beginning of Semester Assignment  
Subjects at the Beginning of Gasal Semester Academic Year 2023/2024  
DPTBB

Based on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found**, it is known that the results of the questionnaire filling in the monitoring and evaluation of lectures at the beginning of the semester of the Final Project course in the odd semester of the academic year 2023/2024 DPTBB FT UNY have an average of 4.07 with a **good** category. This shows that the implementation of the final project course lectures at the beginning of the semester at DPTBB is in the good category.

All the averages obtained in the DPTBB study programme have good and very good categories.

### C. Recommendation

Based on the results that have been described, the following recommendations can be given:

1. Overall, the number of students who fill in emonev PBM for FT UNY has reached 82.64%. It is necessary to increase the filling of emonev PBM, in particular:
  - S2 study programme with details of PTE - S2 (50.52%), PTEI - S2 (35.90%), PTM - S2 (31.72%), PKK - S2 (68.31%).
  - Only 9.09% of the Engineering Science study programme completed the programme.
2. The implementation of lectures in theoretical courses in the **Very Good category** for all departments in the Faculty of Engineering UNY, with details:
  - 20 study programmes received excellent predicates, which need to be maintained.
3. The implementation of laboratory courses in the **Very Good category** for all departments in the Faculty of Engineering UNY, with details:
  - 12 study programmes received excellent predicates, which need to be maintained.
  - 2 study programmes received a good predicate, so they need to be improved.
4. The implementation of workshop courses in the **Very Good category** at the Faculty of Engineering UNY, with details:
  - 8 study programmes received very good predicates, so they need to be maintained.
  - 1 study programme received a good predicate, so it needs to be improved.
5. The implementation of the Final Project course lectures with the **Very Good category** at the Faculty of Engineering UNY, with details:
  - 12 study programmes received excellent predicates, which need to be maintained.
  - 5 study programmes received a good predicate, so they need to be improved.

Yogyakarta, 12 October 2023

FT UNY Audit and M&E Division Team